

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/04/2003 4380 10/654,310 E. Jacquelyn Kirkis **EXAMINER** 07/26/2006 7590 E. Jacquelyn Kirkis PETRIK, KARI KRISTEN 9041 E. Kenyon Drive PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Tucson, AZ 85710

3743

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| | | 10/654,310 | KIRKIS, E. JACQUELYN | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Kari Petrik | 3743 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap | <u>oril 2006</u> . | | |
| · — | • | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| | | ammer. Note the attached office | 7.00017 01 101111 1 7 0 102. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| 2) Notice | ot(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/654,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claim should begin with a capital letter and conclude with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier. The status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), or (New).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the healing postulate" in the second line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,274,787 ("Downing").

Application/Control Number: 10/654,310 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

As regards claims 1-6, Dowing discloses a transparent, span-over the wound bandage comprising a rigid, transparent dome (note col. 4, lines 61-64). The dome may be vented with holes or openings to allow for air circulation (note col. 5, lines 53-58) and may have flanges (note members 82) and tape (adhesive 84), which overlie the flanges to secure the device to the skin of the user. The device is *capable* of one time use, capable of being disposed, and capable of have one side of the taped flange lifted for desired purposes such as cleansing or swabbing and then re-taped (i.e., the taped flanged is capable of being replaced on the user). Also, the dome is *capable* of defining a wound such as a healing pustule to dry scab as the area of protection, is shaped to prevent touch of the wound site during showering or bathing, is *capable* of fitting over any vaccination site, is formed as a single cup with two side flanges to allow the tape (adhesive to secure the device to the skin), and is *capable* of being formed from environmentally safe material allowing for disposal in the regular trash.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Downing is not a valid reference because it does not meet the claim limitation because it is hermetically sealed. The examiner refers the applicant to column 5, lines 53-58, which states that air vents can be provided to permit airflow. The examiner contends that Downing's bandage is capable of protecting a smallpox vaccination site, which could be considered a wound.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kari Petrik whose telephone number is 571-272-8057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,310

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kari Petrik Examiner Art Unit 3743

KKP

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5